SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION

REPORT
CU NO.

COUNTRY

USSR

neau

DATE OF INCAUNTION 1947

SUBJECT

Hilitary - Tank design

Monthly periodical

DATE DIST. 21 Dec 1951

HOM

Γ

PUBLISHED

NO. OF PAGES 5

WHERE

PUBLISHED Moscow

DATE

PUBLISHED May 1947

LANGUAGE

Russian

SOURCE

Tankist, 35 5, 1947.

SOVIET WRITERS DISCUSS
PROTECTIVE ARMOR OF MODERN TANKS

Engr-Col A. Antonov Engr-Lt Col Ye. Magidovich

Tank armor consists of steel plates and steel casting which are riveted or welded together to form the armored body and turret of the tank. Special steel containing 0.25-0.45 percent carbon is used for the armor of modern tanks. The more carbon in the steel, the harder it is, but increasing the carbon content also makes the steel more brittle. Brittle steel is not suitable for armor, which should be hard and at the same time ductile. The combination of these qualities is obtained by complex heat treatment of the motal and addition of nickel, chrome, and molybdenum. Some of the elements added increase the strength of steel (chrome, silicon, ranganese), some increase the ductility (nickel, manganese), and some make it easier to machine and heat-treat the steel (nickel, chrome).

To combine a high degree of surface hardness with ductility, the armor is sometimes casehardeved. In the casehardeving process, a thin layer of the armor's surface is saturated with carbon while the rest of the armor contains comparatively little carbon. Armor of this kind withstands armorpiering bullets and does not solit when struck by shell fragments. Casehardening is especially important when tank armor up to 15-20 millimeters thick is used for protection arginst bullets and shell fragments. Since the armor of modern tanks is originally declined for protection against shells rather than bullets, and since it is 200 millimeters or more thick, homogeneous, noncasehardened steel is used for this puriose.

Interaction of Projectile and Armor

The ordinary armor-piercing shell shatters armor by its kinetic energy, which is expressed in the formula:

W equals MV?

- 1 -

SECRET



### SECKE

where M equals the mass of the projectile, which equals its weight 6 divided by the acceleration due to gravity g:

M equals  $\frac{G}{2}$  equals  $\frac{G}{2}$  kilograms x second<sup>2</sup>

Г

V is the speed of the projectile at the moment it strikes the armor.

If the projectile strikes the armor perpendicularly, all of its kinetic energy goes toward knowcking out a "plug", that is, shattering the armor. The inertia of the armor makes it recist bending. The higher the speed of the projectile, the less tire there is for the armor to bend, and the greater proportion of the kinetic energy of the projectile rose toward knocking out a plug.

The projectile rarely strikes the armor perpendicularly, but rather at an angle to the perpendicular. If the angle is small, the force acting on the projectile from the armor (reaction of the armor), together with the inertia of the projectile (applied at the center of gravity), tend to turn the projectile, reducing the angle and increasing the projectile's capacity for piercing the armor. This is called shifting of the projectile. If the angle is large, the forces tend to turn the projectile in the other direction (increasing the angle), causing it to ricochet. Thus, the strength of the armor will depend on the angle at which the projectile meets it: the greater the angle, the less chance that the armor will be shattered. To increase this angle, the armor is mounted obliquely wherever possible. Oblique armor lengthens the distance the projectile must penetrate and is thus equal in protective value to a thicker plate of vertical armor. This makes it possible to make oblique sheets thinner and thus reduce the weight of the armor.

Small angles of deviation from the percendicular (up to 10 degrees) have little effect on the piercing ability of the projectile. For this reason, the angle of inclination of the armor is usually no less than 20 degrees. At an angle of 50 degrees the projectile ricochets.

The kinetic energy of the projectile depends on the velocity with which is strikes the armor. The greater the distance from the gun to the point of immet, the greater the loss in velocity of the projectile in flight, and the smaller the immet velocity. It must be noted that the immet velocity is squared in the formula so that reducing it by two times decreases the kinetic energy and hence the piercing ability of the projectile by four times.

The protective thickness of armor is that thickness with which the inner (rear)curface of the armor does not break, that is, does not show cleavage or firshmer. With a markle velocity of 750-800 meters per second, the protective thickness of the armor equals 0.8 to 1.3 times the caliber of the projectile (the smaller firme corresponding to a 37-millimeter projectile and the larger to a 75 millimeter projectile).

### Subcaliber and Comulative Projectiles

The subcaliber projectile consists of a soft from body, lightened as such as possible by prooves, a very hard, relatively heavy core made of tungsten (a very hard metal with a specific pravity three times that of steel), and a ballistic can made of plastic or aluminum. Reducing the total weight of the projectile order it possible to live it a greater muzzle velocity (1,000-1,200 meters per second). The ballistic cap collapses when it strikes the armor, and the core, whose diameter is smaller than the califer of the projectile (sence the name), clerces the armor.

- 2 -

## SECRET



r

### SECRET

In this way the body of the projectile gives part of its kinetic energy to the core, like a harner hitting a nail. The small culiter of the core, its great hardness, and the building action of the projectile body, coupled with high muzzle velocity, make necessary a protective thickness of armor 1.2 to 2.0 times the coliber of the projectile at distances up to 500 meters.

The action of the cumulative projectile is based on the so-called cumulative effect. The explosive is inserted in the projectile in the form of a cone so that when it explodes, a directed current of gases with enormous pressure and very high temperature is formed. The burst wave, rebounding from the cone like rays of light reflected from a concave mirror, possesses enormous destructive force and easily shatters the armor, even if it is very thick. Impact velocity is of no importance with cumulative projectiles; hence, the protective thickness of the armor for this type of shell is the same for all distances and counts 0.8 to 1.0 times the caliber.

Other antitank weapons are mannetic cumulative mines, cumulative mines, self-propelled electric toroedoes, guided by wire from cover, and hand rocket launchers with cumulative shells.

The crew of the tank, the firing unit and argument, the basic machiner, and the fuel, oil, and water for it are situated so that they take up as little space as possible, at the same time, facilitating the combat operations of the crow and access to the units that have to be enecked and serviced, and orowiding protection for the men and machinery. Thus, it is obvious that the smaller the dimensions of the tark, the better it is protected. Especially important is minimum height of the tank, permitting it to take cover in uneventermain.

However, in determining the headst of the body and turret, it must be kept in mind that the members of the crow in the turret have to work while standing or half sitting. Hence, taking into account the tank's clearance, the height of the tank body and turret cannot be made less than ? meters.

In the modern task with large caliber arrament, the width of the upper part of the body is determined by the diameter of the turret ball race, which is almost 2 meters. If the diameter is smaller than 2 meters, it will be almost impossible for the pun crew to function efficiently. This minimum diameter, therefore, determines the width of the tank body.

Oblique among fives creater protection to the tank, but large angles of inclination can only be used on the front and rear of the tank. It is usually impossible to make the rises of the tank sloping along their entire height. The body will either be too adds in the expanded part, making it impossible to transport the tanks by railroad, or it will be too narrow in the compressed part, making it impossible to house the machinery and placing the crew in crampes quarters, for these respects, the side plates are most frequently sade vertical, or made oblique only in the upper portion, especially fit the body is expected on too and overhauge the tracks.

The more hatened there are in the body, the none convenient it is to work in the bank and to service the unchinery; but hatene, even when their covers are thicker than the body plates, (really weaken the body.)

In shelling a tack, a hit on the turnet is most probable, and after that, a hit on the front or the upper portion of the sides. For this reason, the armor of the front of the body and of the turnet in the majority of makers tanke is approximately one and a half times as thick as the armor on the sides. The horizontal plates of the top and bottom of the tank, since they are more should read, less vulnerable, are made 2-3 times thinner than the side plates.

- 3 -

# SECRET



## SECREI

Besides the defense of tanks against artillery, discussed above, there are a number of secondary, but nevertheless vital, espects of tank defense. Among these are defense arginst land mines by making the tank's bottom strong and secure, prot tion against the penetration of floring liquids inside the tank, protection against the spread of fire inside the tank, and many others. Some of these problems have not yet been solved.

#### The Tank Turret

Γ

The turret is the most vulnerable part of the tank, and at the same time, holds the primary armament of the tank and the most important members of the crew. After the thickness of the armor, the shape of the turret has the greatest bearing on its protective value. The more rounded the tank turret is, the loss chance there is of a projectile bittime it perpendicularly.

Cone-shaped turrets, which are widely used at present, combine the advantages of convex (collindrical) and ordinue armor. Options protection is given by spherical (ball-shaped) turrets.

Conversion from welded turrets to cast turrets created greater possibilities of giving the turret the desired shape. Last turrets can be given any form, and a gradual change in ormor thickness from front to rear and from top to base is more castly effected. If one adds to this, simplicity of production, it is easy to see why the use of cast turrets and castings of complete body parts has become widespread recently. It is true that cast steal is not as tough as rolled steel, but this is compensated for by the shove-entimed advantages.

Two theories of task development conflicted in borld dar II: the verman theory, based on high-speed, lightly amored tasks, and the USSR theory, based on well-armored, but, at the same, time, rapid and heavily armed tasks. Pitted available USSR tasks, the verman tasks were proved obsolete, and the terman Army was consolled to re-equip its task and mechanized troops completely. Rejection of the weakly armored (30 millimeters thick) T-III and T-IV tanks and conversion to heavily armored tasks like the fasther, Tiger, King Miger, and finally, the superpower mouse Anyshonok; literally, "little mouse" with armor 190-200 millimeters thick showed that versan task designers had been driven to as extreme, and had sacrificed ability and wass utilization of tanks for the sake of amor protection.

Soviet tank builder, starting with the 1-3% and XV tanks, continued their line of development, combining in their tanks the basic combat qualities: firebower, . White, and armor protection, and not sacrificing one quality for another. The tanks had a strong influence on the tank development of all the beligher at mations. It is enough to point out that the body chape of the 2-3% tank was could practically in its entirety by the designers of the Panther tank and is reflected in the king light tanks and in some of the Allied tanks.

The practice of Morla Mar II unders a definite tendency towards increasing armor taickness, improving the shape of tank bodies and turrets, and the widespread adoption of steel costings in tank production.

From 1936 to 1955, the thickness of tack armor increased an average of five times, while in the same period, the maximum speed of tanks increased only 19-15 percent. Towards the end of the war, thinly amoved light tanks went out of use because they did not provide sufficient protection against the mass utilization of antitack seasons (artillery, rocket-cumulative arms).

- 11 -

# SECRET



Г

### SECRET

Increasing armor thickness of tanks was achieved at the cost of a complete abandonment of multiple turrets. The tanks of World Var II were single turret, making it possible to reduce the total length and width of the tank body, and to increase armor thickness considerably. Thus, the british prewar Independent tank, which had five turrets and weighed approximately 40 tons, was 10 meters long, while the wartime single-turret USSR KV tank was 7 meters long. With a slight difference in weight of the two tanks, the armor of the KV was three times as thick as the armor of the Independent (75 and 25 millimeters). However, abandonment of multiple turrets brought no reduction in firepower, at least for USSR tanks. One powerful gun fully replaced two to four small-caliber guns.

In the course of the war, USSR designers further strengthened the armor protection of tanks, without increasing their size and weight, by laying out equipment and machinery efficiently. German designers could not cope with this problem, and their last tanks were approximately one-and-a-half times heavier and considerably larger than the same type USSR tank. This had its chief adverse effect on the mobility of German tanks.

Seviet designers have never lost sight of the fact that a good offense is the best defense; and while strengthening the armor of tanks, have simultaneously increased their firepower and mobility.

- F N D -

**-**5-

SECRET

50Х1-Н<mark>UМ</mark>

